

HYPERFINE SPLITTING AND LEPTONIC DECAY RATES IN HEAVY QUARKONIA

D. EBERT

Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Newtonstr.15, D-12489 Berlin, Germany
debert@physik.hu-berlin.de

R. N. FAUSTOV* and V. O. GALKIN†

Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Newtonstr.15, D-12489 Berlin, Germany
and
Russian Academy of Sciences, Scientific Council for Cybernetics, Vavilov Str. 40, Moscow
117333, Russia

*faustov@theory.sinp.msu.ru, †galkin@physik.hu-berlin.de

The hyperfine splitting in heavy quarkonia is considered in its relation to the leptonic decay rates with the account of relativistic and radiative corrections. The calculated decay rates agree well with the available experimental data, while the predicted $\eta_c(2S)$ mass is significantly smaller than the value measured recently by the Belle Collaboration.

Keywords: Leptonic decay rate; hyperfine splitting; heavy quarkonium; relativistic quark model.

PACS Nos.: 13.20.Gd, 14.40.Gx, 12.39.Ki

Recent observation of the charmonium 2^1S_0 state $\eta_c(2S)$ by the Belle Collaboration yielded the following values for its mass:

$$M(\eta_c(2S)) = \begin{cases} 3.654(14) \text{ GeV (see Ref. 1)} \\ 3.622(12) \text{ GeV (see Ref. 2)} \end{cases} . \quad (1)$$

These values are significantly larger than most predictions of the constituent quark models^{3,4} and the previous (unconfirmed) experimental value⁵ $M[\eta_c(2S)] = 3.594(5) \text{ GeV}$. The resulting $2S$ hyperfine splitting (HFS) would be about 2–4 times smaller than the $1S$ HFS in charmonium which is quite unexpected^{4,6,7}. The HFS is closely connected with leptonic decay rates and vector decay constants of heavy quarkonia. This connection for charmonium was discussed at length in the recent paper⁷. Here we extend this discussion in order to include the relativistic corrections for the vector constants⁸. We considered these corrections for heavy-light (B and D) mesons in our recent paper⁹.

The vector decay constant f_V is defined as follows

$$\langle 0 | \bar{Q} \gamma^\mu Q | V(\mathbf{K}, \varepsilon) \rangle = f_V M \varepsilon^\mu, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{K}$ is the quarkonium momentum, ε and M are the polarization vector and

mass of the quarkonium. The relativistic expression for f_V can be obtained from Eq. (3) of Ref. 9 by putting $m_q = m_Q = m$

$$f_V = \sqrt{\frac{12}{M}} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\epsilon(p) - m}{3\epsilon(p)} \right\} \Phi_V(p), \quad (3)$$

where $\epsilon(p) = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$ and $\Phi_V(p)$ is the vector quarkonium wave function in the momentum space.

In the nonrelativistic limit $p^2/m^2 \rightarrow 0$ this expression reduces to the well-known formula

$$f_V^{\text{NR}} = \sqrt{\frac{12}{M}} |\Psi_V(0)|, \quad (4)$$

where $\Psi_V(0)$ is the wave function at the origin $r = 0$.

The leptonic decay rate for zero lepton mass is given by the following relation

$$\Gamma_0(V \rightarrow e^+e^-) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2 e_Q^2}{3M} f_V^2, \quad (5)$$

where α is the QED fine structure constant and e_Q is the quark charge in units of the elementary electric charge. Using (4) we obtain in the nonrelativistic limit the widely-used relation

$$\Gamma^{\text{NR}} = \frac{16\pi\alpha^2 e_Q^2}{M^2} |\Psi_V(0)|^2. \quad (6)$$

The one-loop QCD corrections modify Eq. (5) in the following way¹⁰

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \left(1 - \frac{16}{3\pi} \alpha_s \right), \quad (7)$$

where we take the QCD coupling constant α_s according to PDG¹¹ equal to

$$\alpha_s(m_c) = 0.26, \quad \alpha_s(m_b) = 0.18.$$

Table 1. Heavy quarkonium masses (in GeV) and HFS ΔM (in MeV) of $1S$ and $2S$ states.

States	1^1S_0	1^3S_1	$\Delta M_{1S}^{\text{HFS}}$	2^1S_0	2^3S_1	$\Delta M_{2S}^{\text{HFS}}$
$c\bar{c}$	$\eta_c(1S)$	$J/\psi(1S)$		$\eta_c(2S)$	$\psi(2S)$	
theory ³	2.979	3.096	117	3.588	3.686	98
experiment ¹¹	2.9797(15)	3.09687(4)	117(1)		3.68596(9)	
experiment ¹	2.979(2)		117(1)	3.654(14)		32(14)
experiment ²	2.979(2)		117(1)	3.622(12)		64(12)
experiment ⁵				3.594(5)		92(5)
$b\bar{b}$	$\eta_b(1S)$	$\Upsilon(1S)$		$\eta_b(2S)$	$\Upsilon(2S)$	
theory ³	9.400	9.460	60	9.993	10.023	30
experiment ¹¹		9.46030(26)			10.02326(31)	

The heavy quarkonium mass spectra including all relativistic v^2/c^2 and one-loop radiative corrections were calculated in Ref. 3. The masses M and HFS $\Delta M_{nS}^{\text{HFS}} \equiv M(n^3S_1) - M(n^1S_0)$ of nS states ($n = 1, 2$) are presented in Table 1.

The ratio of the $2S$ to $1S$ HFS in charmonium is equal to

$$R \equiv \frac{\Delta M_{2S}^{\text{HFS}}}{\Delta M_{1S}^{\text{HFS}}} = \begin{cases} 0.84(9) & \text{Ref. 3} \\ 0.67(10) & \text{Ref. 4} \\ 0.59(8)\eta_{\text{HF}}, \eta_{\text{HF}} \sim 1 & \text{Ref. 7} \\ 0.6(2) & \text{Ref. 10} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The upper high value of R is obtained in the framework of the relativistic quark model³. The bound state equation used there takes into account the one-loop radiative corrections to the static potential enlarging the effective Coulomb constant α_V and relativistic effects both increasing the value of R .

Inserting into Eq. (3) the same wave functions as used in Ref. 3 we can calculate on the basis of Eqs. (5) and (7) the leptonic decay rates of vector quarkonia. The results of these calculations in comparison with experimental data are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Vector decay constants and leptonic decay rates of vector quarkonia.

Decay modes	f_V MeV	Γ^{NR} keV	Γ keV	Γ^{exp} keV
$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-$	551	6.7	5.4	5.26(37)
$\psi(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-$	401	3.2	2.4	2.19(15)
$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-$	839	1.4	1.3	1.32(5)
$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+e^-$	562	0.6	0.5	0.52(3)

As seen from Table 2, relativistic corrections considerably reduce the calculated decay rates and bring them in good agreement with experimental values. Thus we observe the overall selfconsistency between predictions for HFS and leptonic decay rates of heavy quarkonia. It means that the new Belle data¹ for the $\eta_c(2S)$ mass cannot be easily explained in the framework of relativistic constituent quark models and, if confirmed, require some novel ideas and approaches (scale choice⁷ for α_s , state mixing¹², etc.).

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude E. Eichten, A. Martin, M. Müller-Preussker and V. Savrin for support and discussions. Two of us (R.N.F. and V.O.G.) were supported in part by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* under contract Eb 139/2-2.

References

1. Belle Collaboration, S.-K. Choi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 102001 (2002).

2. Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 142001 (2002).
3. D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 034014 (2000); **67**, 014027 (2003).
4. W. Buchmüller, J. Y. Ng, and S.-H. H. Tye, *Phys. Rev. D* **24**, 3003 (1981); E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane, and T. M. Yan, *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 203 (1980); E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 5845 (1994).
5. Crystal Ball Collaboration, C. Edwards *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 70 (1982).
6. E. J. Eichten, K. Lane, and C. Quigg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 162002 (2002).
7. A. M. Badalian and B. L. G. Bakker, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 071901(R) (2003), hep-ph/0302200.
8. P. Cea, P. Colangelo, L. Cosmai, and G. Nardulli, *Phys. Lett. B* **206**, 691 (1988).
9. D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17**, 803 (2002).
10. R. Barbieri, R. Gatto, R. Kogerler, and Z. Kunszt, *Phys. Lett. B* **57**, 455 (1975).
11. Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 010001 (2002).
12. E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane, and Tung-Mow Yan, *Phys. Rev. D* **17**, 3090 (1978); A. Martin and J. M. Richard, *Phys. Lett. B* **115**, 323 (1982).